

reports

Barbara Smith to: Philip Dellinger

08/08/2012 12:17 PM

From:

"Barbara Smith" <bsmith@goliadcogcd.org>

To:

Philip Dellinger/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

1 attachment

RRC Inspection Reportr - 200708082012_00000.pdf

Mr. Dellinger, Mr. Dohmann asked me to send these reports to you. Barbara Smith, Manager, GCGCD



RAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS SURFACE MINING AND RECLAMATION DIVISION

URANIUM EXPLORATION INSPECTION REPORT

Mine Name:	Weesatch Project			Pe	rmit Number: 1	23			
Permittee:	Uranium Energy Corporati	County:							
Industry Repr	esentative(s) Present: M	like O'Leary			·				
Inspector:	Murphy Hawkins, Michael	dt, Dean Poth	nn Poth Date of Inspection: March 7-9, 20						
I. Field Con	ditions and Data Collecti	ion							
Samples Colle	ected: No 🗌 Yes 🛛	S	ample Type:	Water 🗌 🕛	Soil 🖾	Vegetation [
Average Temperature	Soil 70° F Condition	Dry	Date Last Rainfall	unknown	Wind Direction/ Velocity (Est.)	The state of the s			
Photographs A	Attached: No 🗌 Yes 🗵								
II. Enforcem	nent Action Taken								
Notice of Vio	lation Issued:	No 🗌	Yes 🖂	NOV No.	080A				
Cessation Ord	ler Issued:	No 🖾	Yes 🗌	CO No.		· ·			
		03/23/200	7 Ula	ili Mi	linger	3-26-0			
Inspector	Signature	Date	Revie	wing Supervisor	Signature	Date			

The Railroad Commission of Texas complies with Federal and State laws applicable to race, religion, national origin, sex, and disability. Information is available upon request by calling (512) 463-7288 or 1-800-735-2989 if special assistance is required.

Mine Name: Permit Number: Weesatch Project

123

Inspection Date:

March 7-9, 2007

III. Comments - Inspection Narrative

- Document the area of the permit inspected
- Discuss observations made during the inspection
- Document the results of any field tests taken
- · Provide a summary of any discussions with industry representatives, along with results, and expectations from those discussions
- · Describe any enforcement action taken during the inspection, along with facts or evidence supporting the enforcement action

This inspection focused on reclamation of the drilling activities associated with UEC's Weesatch Project, Permit No. 123. The examination was in response to a complaint and request for on-site investigation by James B. Blackburn, Jr. representing Goliad County. The complaint, received February 6, 2007, alleged that UEC was not disposing drill fluids and potentially harmful cuttings in accordance with the approved Uranium Exploration Permit No. 123 and that UEC's activities were adversely impacting the area groundwater resources. We met with Mr. Mike O'Leary at the site on March 7, 2007 at the beginning of the inspection.

Goliad County Commissioner Jim Krenek, Mr. Art Dohmann, Ms. Margret Rutherford and Dr. H. C. Clark, representing the Goliad County group, were also present on March 7, 2007. At the groups' request, we met them at the property of Mr. Elder Abrameit where they discussed the site conditions that prompted their complaint (see photograph 1).

UEC was contacted regarding the complaint and in response provided, by email on February 9, 2007, the location coordinates, plugging dates, and land ownership for each borehole drilled under the permit. UEC reported to the Commission that it had thus far plugged 202 holes.

The inspection focused on the surface impacts of the drilling program to verify if the reclamation procedures were being met. Site reclamation was assessed based on the performance standards defined in the permit application, permit issuance letter and the Uranium Act and Regulations. A total of 117 of the 202 boreholes reported as plugged were checked. A table listing the 117 drill locations checked during this inspection and the evaluation of reclamation associated with each borehole and mud pit is attached. During this inspection UEC had four drilling rigs active (see photograph 2). Only the older boreholes, on which UEC had provided identification information and reported as plugged, were inspected for reclamation compliance.

In Section IV A of the application, UEC states that, during drill site preparations, topsoil will be segregated from other soils and saved and later re-distributed. Topsoil was not re-distributed on the top of the majority of the drill sites inspected. In the 117 borehole sites inspected 74 were not fully re-topsoiled. Site 32892-84 (see photograph 3) exemplifies this drill site reclamation failure. Site 32892-84 is covered with a gray subsoil with little or no topsoil evident.

IIF. Comments - Cont.

In Section IV A of the application, UEC states that mud pits will be allowed to dry before being backfilled with subsoil and cuttings. This drying aids in preventing excursions semi-solid drilling fluids. I observed at the active sites that drilling pits were being backfilled very quickly after the hole was logged with no drying period (see photograph 4). This process caused lighter drilling liquids to be crowded out of the pit and flow on to the surface. Evidence of this reclamation failure was also evident in the several older drill holes including Borehole 32892-84 where drilling fluids or cuttings were found on the surface (see photograph 5).

In Section IV A of the application, UEC states that mud pit areas will be backfilled to above grade to allow for settling. This precaution is designed to prevent the formation of depressions in the pasturelands drilled. Eleven of the 117 boreholes inspected had depression areas forming over the mud pits. Borehole 32201-N40 is an example of this backfilling failure (see photograph 6).

UEC committed in Section IV B of the application to mark each borehole location in such a way that the Commission could verify the presence of a surface plug. UEC provided the Commission with State Plane Coordinates for each borehole. We attempted to locate the boreholes with the coordinates using three separate GPS systems, one with sub-meter accuracy. The Commission inspectors were only able to tag the surface plug in six holes of the 117 inspected using the GPS and a four foot steel rod probe. The holes that were located were found because there was some surface indication of the borehole location not because they were at the exact coordinates provided. Evidence was present in the field that a number of boreholes may have been marked over the hole with a wooden stake at one time but most of the sites had been regraded or otherwise re-disturbed.

As required by the Commission's permit issuance letter, each borehole drilled in this project is required to have a ten-foot surface plug located three feet below the surface. The majority of the borehole locations were unable to be located for verification. Of the fourteen boreholes located, five were found to be open to the surface with the cement plug estimated to be greater than 20 feet below the surface and the remainder of the plugs found were between 0 and 18 inches below the surface. Borehole 32201-N38 (see photograph 7) is an example of this plugging failure.

Several sites were inspected where the surface reclamation had been done in accordance with the performance standards contained in UEC's Permit No. 123 (see photographs 8 and 9).

In addition to the site reclamation inspection, a gamma radiation survey of the area was made to determine if the radiation levels from the pit areas was higher than background levels. As part of this survey, soil samples were secured for analysis. The results of this part of the inspection are still being analyzed and will be included in a subsequent report.

Mine Name: Permit Number: Weesatch Project

123

March 7-9, 2007

III. Comments - Cont.

Inspection Date:

Based on observations made during this field inspection I believed that UEC was not in compliance with their Exploration Permit and the Regulations and issued Notice of Violation 080A. The Notice of Violation requires that UEC install a concrete surface plug at all sites, mark the exact location of each borehole for verification by the Commission, and remove all drilling mud, cuttings, cement and other debris burying it with no less than one foot of topsoil. UEC is directed to complete the remedial action by April 12, 2007.

A closeout meeting was conducted on March 12 via telephone conference with Mr. O'leary wherein the items included in this report were discussed.

Weesatch Project 123 March 7-9, 2007



Photo 1: Abrameit property with members of Goliad County group




Photo 2: Three of the Four Drill Rigs Active During the Inspection

Weesatch Project 123 March 7-9, 2007



Photograph 3: Borehole 32892-84, Grey Subsoil on Surface



Photograph 4: Drilling fluids excursion from mud pit

Weesatch Project 123 March 7-9, 2007



Photograph 5: Borehole 32892-84 cuttings from pit on surface




Photograph 6: Borehole 32201-N40 Mud Pit Depression

Weesatch Project 123 March 7-9, 2007



Photograph 7: Borehole 32201-N38 No Surface Plug



Photograph 8: Jacobs Water Well Reclamation Site

Weesatch Project

March 7-9, 2007



Photograph 9: Location 32208-32 Reclamation Site

Uranium Exploration Corporation (UEC) - Weesatch Froject Inspection

March 7-9, 2007

		Mud F	Borehole						
	Surface Attributes								
Site #	Borehole ¹ Cuttings	Driling ¹ Mud	Cement 1	Settling	% of ² Disturbed Area Topsoiled	Located	Surface Plug	Plug ³ Depth	
30892-103	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-		
30892-104	N	N	N	N	60-100	N			
30892-101	N	Y	N	N	0-59	N	-	*	
30892-102	N	Υ	N	N	0-59	N			
30892-102C	N	Y	N	N	0-59	·N	-	**	
30892-105	N	Y	N	N	0-59	N			
30892-113	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-	-	
30892-114	N	N	N	N	0-59	N			
30892-115	N	N	N	N	0-59	N			
30892-116	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-		
30892-117	N	N	N	N	0-59	N			
30892-118	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	•		
30892-118AC	N	N	N	N	0-59	N		*	
30892-118C	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-	_	
30892-1100	N	N	N	N	0-59	N		-	
30892-119	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-	-	
30892-120BC	N	N	N	N	0-59	N		-	
30892-120BC 30892-120C	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-		
	N	l N	N	N	0-59	N			
30892-121	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-	-	
30892-83	N	N	N	Y	0-59	N	-	-	
30892-85	N	N	T N	N	0-59	N	-	-	
30892-85AC	N	N	l N	N	0-59	N	-	-	
30892-85C	N	N	T N	N	60-100	N	-	-	
30892-86	N	N N	l N	N	0-59	N	_	-	
30892-86C		N	N	l N	60-100	N	+	-	
30892-90	N	N	 N	<u>'`</u>	60-100	T N	-	-	
30892-91	N		N	N	60-100	Y	Y	14"	
30892-92	N	l N	+ N	T N	60-100	l N	-	-	
30892-94	N	N	N N	N N	0-59	T Y	Y	8"	
30892-95	N	N	+ '\'\	N	60-100	Y	Y	18"	
30892-97	N	N	N	N	60-100	N	-	-	
30892-98	N	N	Y	Y	0-700	T Y	Y	0"	
32201-N37	N	<u> </u>	l N	+ 'n	50	i N	-	~	
32201-N39	N	N			0	N	-		
32201-N52	N	N	l N	N	+ 0			-	
32201-N58	N	N	N N	N N	1 0	N	 	-	
32201-N62	N	N	N N	<u> N</u>	60-100	N N	 	 _	
32202-101	N	N	N N	N N	60-100	I N			
32202-102	N	N	N	N	1 00-100	1 14		<u> </u>	

UEC Permit No. 123 Inspection - March 7-9, 2007 Page 1 of 3

		Mud F	Borehole					
		Surf						
Site #	Borehole 1 Cuttings	Driling ¹ Mud	Cement 1	Settling	% of ² Disturbed Area Topsoiled	Located	Surface Plug	Plug ³ Depth
2202-106	N	N	N	N	60-100	N	-	
2202-100	N	N	N	N	60-100	· N	-	*
2202-107	N	N	N	N	60-100	N	-	<u>.</u>
2202-103	N	N	N	N	50	N		*
2202-112	N	N	N	N	60-100	Y	Y	12"
2202-114	N	N	N	N	60-100	N	-	-
2202-115	N	N	N	N	60-100	N	-	
2202-116	N	N	N	N	0-59	N	-	
32202-110	N	N	N	Υ	0-59	N	<u> </u>	4 751
2202-118	N	N	N	N	60-100	Υ	Y	12"
2202-110	N	N	N	N	60-100	Y	Y	18"
32202-121	N	N	N	N	60-100	Υ	Y	10"
32202-123	N	N	N	N	60-100	N		
32202-124	N	N	N	N	60-100	N	<u> </u>	-
32202-125	N	N	N	Y	60-100	N		ļ <u>-</u>
32202-127	N	N	N	N	60-100	N	ļ. <u> </u>	
32202-94	N	N	N	N	60-100	N		
32202-95	N	N	N	N	60-100	N		<u> </u>
32202-96	N	N	N	N	60-100	<u> </u>		
32202-97	N	N	N	N_	60-100	N	ļ .	
32202-98	N	N	N	N	60-100	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
32202-99	N	N	N	N	0-59	N		-
32206-01	N	N	N	N	80	N		-
32206-02	N	N	N	Υ	0	N N		
32206-06	N	N	N	Y	0	N N		-
32206-07	N	N	N	Υ	0	↓ N		
32206-08	N	N	N	N	0	N	-	
32206-12	N	N	N	N	0	<u> </u>		
32206-12A	N	N	N	N	60-100	N		
32206-12N	N	N	N	N	0	N N		
32206-125	N	N	N	N	0	N		
32206-18	N	N	N	N	0	N		ļ <u>-</u>
32208-30	N	N	N	Y	0	N		
32208-31	N	N	N	N	0	N		-
32208-32	N	N	N	N	100	<u> </u>		
32208-42	N	N	N	N	60-100	N		
32208-43	N	N	N	N	85	N.		
32208-46	N	N	N	N	0	N N		
JACOBS WW	N	N	N	N	60-100	Y		
P1-07-1-8	N	N	N	N	60-100	N		
P-1-07-3-4	N	N	N	N	60-100	N N		 -
P1-07-4	N	N	N	N	60-100	N		
P1-07-6	N	N	N	N	60-100	N N	_1	

		./lud F	Borehole					
		Surf						
Site #	Borehole ¹ Cuttings	Driling ¹ Mud	Cement 1	Settling	% of ² Disturbed Area Topsoiled	Located	Surface Plug	Plug ³ Depth
	1	N	N	N	60-100	N	-	-
P1-07-7	N		N	N	0-59	N	-	_
30892-84	N	N Y	N	N	0-59	N	-	*
30892-88	N	Y	Y	N	0-59	N	-	-
30892-99	N	N N	N	N	60	N	-	-
30898-21	Y	1	N	N	90	N	-	-
30898-22	Y	N N	Y	N	60	N		-
30898-23	N	N N	N	N	90	N	-	i*
30898-24	Y		Y	N	85	N	-	-
30898-31	Y	N N	† 'Y	Y	95	Υ	Y	18"
30898-32	N	Y	Y	Ň	60	N	-	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
30898-35	N N	Y	│ 	N	0-59	N	-	-
32201-N1	N N	N	N	N	0	N	-	-
32201-N10	N	Y	+ '	Ÿ	0	Y	N	-
32201-N12	N		N	N	0-59	Y	N	-
32201-N2	N	 	l N	N	20	Υ	N·	_
32201-N3	N	Y	N	Ÿ	80	Y	N	-
32201-N38	N	N	N	Ÿ	80	N	-	-
32201-N40	N	N	N	N	80	N	-	~
32201-N41	N.	N	N	N	100	N	-	-
32201-N5	N	I N	+ <u>'N</u>	N	60	N	-	-
32201-N6	N N	Y	N	N	50	N	-	-
32201-N7	N	+ 'n	T N	N	0	N	-	-
32206-03	N N	N	Y	N	0	N	-	-
32206-09	H N	T N	 	N	60-100	N	-	-
32206-11		N	i N	l N	0	N	-	-
32206-15	N Y		N	N	0	N	-	•
32206-19		IN	'	N	60-100	N		~
32206-20	N	N	 '	N	60-100	N	-	-
32206-21	N	+ 'N	 N	N	90	N	-	-
32208-41	N Y	+	Y Y	N	1 0	N	-	_
32208-44		 '	+ ·	N	l ō	Y	N	-
32208-45	N Y	N	 	N	0-59	N	-	_
P1-07-1-4		N	+ N	N	60-100	N	-	T
P1-07-2-4 P1-07-8-8	N Y	+ N	'\\ Y	N	0-59	N	,	-

Notes:

¹ Material found on surface

² % estimates made on first day of inspection were made as a range

³ Measurement from ground surface to top of plug

KAILROAD COMMISSION OF TEXAS SURFACE MINING AND RECLAMATION DIVISION



Notice of Violation No. 080A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Company: Uran	ium Energy Corporation (L	EC)		
	Weesatch Project		Permit No.	Exploration Permit No. 123
Type of Mine: Mailing Address:	☐ Coal	☐ Uranium Mill Road City	Other Austin hone Number: (512)	Zip
County	March 7-9, 2007			
	M: <u>8:00</u> A.M.		A.M.	
Time of hispotion. 1110	P.M.		P.M.	are not and one with the risk that the time are now one and time are
Description of Violation(s	tion Permit No. 123. Speci	ically, the permittee failed t	o segregate and replace	ation as specified in Section IV of topsoil, and/or properly install
a coment curface	ning, and/or allow pits to di	ry before backfilling and/or	<u>backfill or compact bac</u>	kfilled materials and topsou
shove grade in the	e reclaimed mud pits to con	pensate for settling, and/or	mark the exact location	1 of each porenoie.
Provisions of Regulations	. Act or Permit Violated	Uranium Exploration Per	mit No. 123, Section IV	, and § 11, 137 and \$11,138
of the Texas Urani	ium Surface Mining Regula	tions		
Area of Operation Affect	ed <u>Uranium Exploration</u>	on Permit No. 123 Permit A	rea	
van har van en de kontingen kan de kontingen gewinde helde helde de de de propiet de de kontingen de de de de				
Remedial Action Require	d The permittee shall: 1) Install a concrete surface (1, 3) Remove all drilling mu	dug as required in the part and	ermit, 2) Mark the exact location other debris burying it with no less
than one foot of topsoil.		and the second development of the second of		
Time for Abatement	Thirty Days (April 12.	2007)		and the second s
NOTICE Issued by				
This Notice of Violation d	irecis the party cited to imme	ediately initiate corrective me	asures, and constitues of	separate Notice of Violation for each
l hereby acknowledge re		ipt does not constitute an adm		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	المعاولة على من المواقعة والمواقعة و		'e	
(Si	gnature)			
(P	osition)	·		

The Railroad Commission of Texas complies with Federal and State laws applicable to race, religion, national origin, sex, and disability. Information is available upon request by calling (512) 463-7288 or 1-800-735-2989 if special assistance is required

